

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,821	09/11/2000	Yutaka Ikushima	197240US0	5767
22850	7590 03/26/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			OH, TAYLOR V	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1625	<i>(</i> )
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/659,821	IKUSHIMA ET AL	
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625	
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication app Period f r Reply	<u> </u>	th the corresp nd nce addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a now within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON and a cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
_	lanuary 2002		•
· _ · · · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.		
, <u> </u>			:4- !-
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>			erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Ap	oplication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the companies.</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		је
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		aliantian)
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application has be	en received.	meation).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 1625

# Final Rejection

## **The Status of Claims**

Claims 1-20 have been rejected.

### Claim Rejections-35 USC 112

1. Applicants' argument filed 1/3/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The rejection of Claims 1-2 and 3-6 have been maintained due to applicants' failure to modify the claims in the amendment.

#### Claim Rejections-35 USC 103

Rejection of Claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over An et al (Applications of High-Temperature Aqueous Media for synthetic Organic Rxns, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2505-2511).

The rejection of Claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over An et al (Applications of High-Temperature Aqueous Media for synthetic Organic Rxns, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2505-2511) is maintained for reasons of the record in paper no. 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,821 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

### Response to Argument

- 2. The applicants argue the following issue:
  - 1. The An reference has failed to show the limitations of at least 350° C. with a reaction times of 10-400 seconds in the absence of catalyst with adding any basic catalyst in the supercritical water.

The applicants' argument have been noted, but these arguments are not persuasive.

First, with regard to the limitations of the reaction time and temperature, the reference does indicate that the conditions near supercritical water of 374 °C (see pages 2505, lines 11-13) and the reaction time of 10 mins (see pages 2509, lines 2) have been investigated and found that the superheated water can react in the organic reactions. Furthermore, concerning the reaction time difference, the claimed ranges and the prior art do not overlap, but are close enough that the one skilled in the art would have expected them to have a similar reaction condition in the absence of an unexpected result. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in the art

Art Unit: 1625

to have motivated to optimize the reaction time by a routine experimentation so as to increase the efficiency of the reaction process.

Second, regarding the absence of catalyst, the reference does emphasize other potential benefits from high-temperature aqueous media include no addition of catalyst (see page 2507, from line 9 of the first col. to line 3 of the second col.). Therefore, the reference does teach the claimed limitation.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,821 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 703-305-0809. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2742 for regular communications and 703-305-7401 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

March 23, 2003

ALAN L. ROTMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600